

2021 Homeland Security Grant Project # _____

Project Scoring Sheet

Criteria	Description	Scoring	Wgt.	Total Score Poss.	Proj. Score	Wgt. Project Score
Risk Scenario Linkage	Project is applied to one of the risk scenarios identified within the UASI THIRA or the NCR Regional Risk Assessment.	Scale = 1-15 Subjective Evaluation	1.0	15		
Alignment with Regional Strategy	Evaluate the project's alignment with the Regional Strategy and Committee Work Plans. Provide a narrative description of this linkage and how the project supports Committee work plan goals.	Scale = 0-5 Subjective Evaluation	2.0	10		
Improvement Planning Alignment	Evaluate how this project addresses an improvement area(s) identified in the Regional AAR/Lessons Learned Rolling Summary Report.	Scale = 0-5 Subjective Evaluation	5.0	25		
Matching Funds	Matching Funds (only for projects >\$50k) 1-10% 1 Additional Point 10.1-20% 2 Additional Points 20.1% < 3 Additional Points	Scale = 0-3 Objective Evaluation	10.0	30		
Core Capability Impact	How does this project improve the selected core capability? Does the proposed project address gaps identified in the Regional capability assessment? Is project implementation viable and supported through a Regional committee?	Scale = 0-5 Subjective Evaluation	7.0	35		
Core Capability Alignment	Alignment can be either with the overall core capability score OR an individual capability measure score.: Core Capability Score of 0.1-2.0 = 5 points Core Capability Score of 2.1-4.0 = 4 points Core Capability Score of 4.1-6.0 = 3 points Core Capability Score of 6.1-8.0 = 2 point Core Capability Score of 8.1-10.0 = 1 point	Scale = 0-5 Objective Evaluation	5.0	25		
Multi-Jurisdictional Collaboration	Evaluates the level of multi-jurisdictional and/or multi-agency collaboration exhibited in the project.	Scale = 1-5 Subjective Evaluation	4.0	20		
Regional Committee Prioritization	Project score based on the Regional Committee's priority evaluation/ranking received from each committee or sub-committee. Ranked 1st- 8 points Ranked 2nd - 6 points Ranked 3rd through 5th - 4 points Ranked 6th through 10th - 2 points Remaining Projects if linked to Workplan - 1 point Project Not supported by Committee- 0 Points	Scale = 0-8 Subjective Evaluation by Regional Committees	5.0	40		
Totals				200		

2021 Regional Grant Scoring Anchors

Risk Scenario Linkage

Scale

0	Question left blank.
1	Question was answered, but answer is not specifically linked to a NCR or UASI THIRA Risk Scenario.
2	Question was answered and is specifically linked to a NCR or UASI THIRA Risk Scenario.
3/4	Answer is specifically linked to a NCR/UASI THIRA Risk Scenario and explains how projects mitigates scenario risk.
5	Answer is specifically linked to a NCR/UASI THIRA Risk Scenario and provides detailed scenario risk mitigation explanation.

Alignment with the Regional Homeland Security Strategy

Scale

0	Question left blank
1	Question was answered, but answer is not linked to any Goals or Measures from the Regional Strategy
2	Question was answered and is linked to either a Goal or a Capability Measure from the Regional Strategy
3	Question was answered and is linked to a Goal and a Measure from the Regional Strategy
4/5	Question was answered and is linked to a Goal and Measure from the Regional Strategy and provides a detailed explanation of how the proposed project supports the goal and objective

Alignment with Improvement Planning Regional Rolling Summary Report

Scale

0	Question left blank
1	Question was answered but answer is not specifically linked to an improvement area identified within the Regional AAR/Lessons Learned Rolling Summary Report.
2	Question was answered and is specifically linked to an improvement area identified within the Regional AAR/Lessons Learned Rolling Summary Report.
3/4	Question was answered and is specifically linked to an improvement area identified within the Regional AAR/Lessons Learned Rolling Summary Report and provides a broad explanation of how the proposed project supports the referenced improvement area.
5	Question was answered and is specifically linked to an improvement area identified within the Regional AAR/Lessons Learned Rolling Summary Report and provides a detailed explanation of how the proposed project supports the referenced improvement area

Capability Impact

Scale

0	Question left blank
1	Project provides an impact to a single agency or jurisdictional capability
2	Project provides a minimal impact to a regional capability
3	Project provides a moderate impact to a regional capability
4	Project provides a significant impact to a regional capability within a sub-regional area
5	Project provides a significant impact to a regional capability

Multi-Jurisdictional Collaboration

Scale

1	Agency Specific Project
2	Municipality Specific Project
3	County-wide Project (State Recognized Counties)
4	Sub-Regional Project
5	Region-wide Project

Committee Prioritization

Scale

0	Project not submitted through Regional Committee or Project not linked to Committee workplan in Regional Strategy or Project not supported by Regional Committee
1	Project submitted through Committee and linked to Committee workplan .
2	Project ranked 6th through 10th in Committee priority.
4	Project ranked 3rd through 5th in Committee priority.
6	Project ranked 2nd in Committee priority.
8	Project ranked 1st in Committee priority.